

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,467	11/20/2003	Hans-Peter Mayer	Q78456	6852
23373	7590 09/02/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			HEALY, BRIAN	
SUITE 800	JIE VIII IN INVENUE, IN	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2883	

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
				EXAMINER	
			<u>. </u>		
			ART UNIT	PAPER	
				08302005	

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The previous office action mailed 7/21/2005 was missing action on the merits for claims 11-16. The attorney of record, Mr. Kakarla, had phoned the examiner and left a phone message pointing out the error in the office action within a month of the mailing date. Because this was the mistake of the examiner and in accordance with MPEP 710.06 the time for response to the previous office action is hereby restarted to a period of TWO (2) months from the mailing date of this office action. Any questions concerning this office action should be directed to:

Brian M. Healy Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2883 Phone:(571)272-2347

> Brian Healy Primary Examiner

Brian M. Healy **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 2883

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Re-Starteel	10/716,467	MAYER, HANS-PET	rer				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian M. Healy	2883					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply specified a	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	imunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on issue	withdrawal letter.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the r	nerits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17 and 18</u> is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,11,12 and 14-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 8,10 and 13 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2003 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Examir	ier.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			, ,				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC)-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	450)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) ∐ Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-	152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	See Jee	<u> </u>					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offi PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 2883

DETAILED ACTION

The time for response has been RE-STARTED to TWO(2) months from the mailing

date of this office action. (SEE ATTACHED PTOL-90).

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant is advised that the Notice of Allowance mailed 3/11/2005 (See also

'Notice of Withdrawal from Issue Under 37 CFR 1.313" signed by Director, Janice

Falcone) is vacated. If the issue fee has already been paid, applicant may request a

refund or request that the fee be credited to a deposit account. However, applicant may

wait until the application is either found allowable or held abandoned. If allowed, upon

receipt of a new Notice of Allowance, applicant may request that the previously

submitted issue fee be applied. If abandoned, applicant may request refund or credit to

a specified Deposit Account. The Examiner regrets any inconvenience to Applicant

caused by this action.

The indicated allowability of claims 1-16 is withdrawn in view of the newly

discovered reference(s) to (see applied references listed below). Rejections based on

the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claims 17 and 18 are allowed over the prior art for the reasons discussed in the

previous office action.

Art Unit: 2883

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation "at least one piece of waveguide structure", and the claim also recites "in particular, a piece of fiber" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. Claims 2-5 are dependent claims that are also rejected as being inclusive of rejected claim 1.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention as is described above.

Art Unit: 2883

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1,6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamamoto et. al., U.S.P. No. 4,233,506.

Yamamoto et. al. 506' teaches (Figs.1-18) a planar lighwave circuit and method of making same comprising: an optical device 8 including an angled piece of a fiber bundle or fiber array that has at least one thin film layer 19 deposited on at least one end facet and held by planar lightwave substrate 24. The method of making the device

Art Unit: 2883

involved cutting or sawing a fiber bundle or array at an angle and then depositing the thin film layer. The teachings of Yamamoto et. al. 506' clearly, fully meets Applicant's claimed limitations.

Claims 1,2, 5, 11,12,,14,15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim et. al., U.S.P. No. U.S. 2002/0197008A1.

Kim et. al. 008' teaches (Figs.1-2) a planar waveguide circuit 200 multiplexer/duplexer comprising: an optical device comprising a piece of waveguide 200a (Note that although this is an integral structure the waveguide 200a is also considered a sub-component or piece of the whole device) of waveguide structure with at least one thin-film filter 201 (Note the thin film layer is used for multiplexing/demultiplexing wavelength reflection/transmission) placed at the end facet of the waveguide structure and with the filtered signal routed to optical fibers 203 including a core and cladding which clearly, fully meets Applicant's claimed limitations.

Claims 1,3,4,6,7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee et. al., U.S.P. No. 6,909,830.

Lee et. al. 830' teaches (Figs.1-12) a planar waveguide device 1110 and method of making same comprising: at least one piece of waveguide structure 2000 (this can be a fiber bundle or array) that is placed inside of a recess 3060 in the planar lightwave circuit with the faceted end being angularly cut (with respect to the fiber axis) or sawed,

Art Unit: 2883

polished and coated with a thin film layer 2050 and used in conjunction with an index matching material, which clearly, fully meets Applicant's claimed limitations.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8,10 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. None of the references of record teaches or suggests joining fibers into fiber bundles and fiber arrays using matrix materials, as is desribed in claim 8, having the fiber pieces separated from a fiber plate after thin film deposition, as is stated in claim 10 or using a saturatable absorber filter with the limitations of claim 1 (claim 13).

The following references are also cited by the Examiner as being pertinent prior art: Jackson et. al., U.S.P. No.5,321,251 (Figs.1-8B) and Walker et. al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0168157 A1 (Figs.1-28).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian M. Healy whose telephone number is (571)272-2347. The examiner can normally be reached on Compressed schedule Tues.-Thurs. 7AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on (571)272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2883

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian M. Healy Primary Examiner Art Unit 2883

Brian Healy

Primary Examiner